

CAROL J. THOMAS STENOTYPE REPORTING SERVICES, INC. 3162 MUSKET COURT FAIRFAX, VIRGINIA 22030

ORIGINAL

VIDEO

NOTARIES: Washington, D.C.

Maryland Virginia

24-hour Message Center:

MARYLAND: 301-738-7188

VIRGINIA: 703-273-9221

WASHINGTON, D.C.: 202-338-9221

Toll Free: 1-800-322-9221

FAX: (703) 273-9217

September 9, 1992

MS. LAVERA MARSHALL, CHIEF DOCKETS BRANCH FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION 1919 M STREET, NORTHWEST, ROOM 230 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20054

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION RE:

DOCKET NUMBER: 92-35 CITY and STATE: PARRISH, ALABAMA

Dear Ms. Marshall:

Enclosed please find the corrected pages 100, 120, 141, 163, 164, 166, 205, 215 and 224 as outlined in the orders dated AUGUST 6, 1992, copies of which are also enclosed. Please insert the enclosed pages into the original and copies of the abovecaptioned transcript.

A copy of this letter will be retained in our files. should have any questions regarding these pages, please do not hesitate to contact this office.

Very truly yours,

Carol J. Thomas

CJT: hcb

Enclosures

cc: MR. JIMMY D. BROWN

MS. DONNA R. SEARCEY

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: I think for purposes of making the
2	record, though, it's good to have them marked and received,
3	and it won't be too burdensome if we are prepared to go for
4	that.
5	MS. LADEN: Pardon me, Your Honor? I have a cold,
6	and I'm having a lot of trouble hearing.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Let's go off the record for a
8	moment.
9	(A discussion was held off the record.)
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Let's take a five-minute recess.
,,	I'm going to have somebody come in here and turn that off.
12	(A brief recess was taken.)
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: Let's go back on the record. We
14	are back on the record, and we have eliminated the noise
15	interference in the courtroom.
16	You do understand what did transpire thus far,
17	don't you, Mr. Brown? The Bureau has introduced the
18	documents.
19,	MR. BROWN: Yes, sir.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: And you have had a copy of those
21	documents?



CAROL J. THOMAS STENOTYPE REPORTING SERVICES, INC.

MR. BROWN: Yes, sir.

the -- simultaneously.

JUDGE SIPPEL: That's good to hear. I mean I'm glad to hear that clarification because I might have been thinking otherwise.

MS. LADEN: That's right. And Your Honor, I can categorically state for the record that this document was sent to two other addresses, including the Hernando address. In fact the first page, the page that preceded it, may in fact be, have contained the same document for all I know, Your Honor.

JUDGE SIPPEL: That's speculative. We don't need to get into that yet, but you're offering this evidence--let's stick to the, what we are trying to accomplish here today. You have offered this into evidence, and the Bureau is telling me now with her explanation that she has no objection to it, so we are going to receive it into evidence, and we can talk about it later. We can have all kinds of opportunities to talk about it.

MR. BROWN: Yes, sir.

JUDGE SIPPEL: So my ruling is page No. 3 of Brown Exhibit No. 1 for identification is received in evidence at this time as page 3 of Brown Exhibit No. 1.



CAROL J. THOMAS STENOTYPE REPORTING SERVICES, INC.

MS. LADEN: I don't object to the notes. I object to the envelope because I don't know when or where this envelope came from or what was in it or what the date was.

I object to the envelope.

Now the note, I think there are several notes here that are relevant, and I have some questions about them later on, so I believe subject to cross, that I have no problem with this, but I would object to the envelope as showing anything because I don't know what the envelope came from or when.

JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Well, and I asked you this question once before--what is your best recollection as to what was inside this envelope?

Don't try and reconstruct it now. Either you recall or you don't recall.

MR. BROWN: I don't recall, Your Honor.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. All right. Well, I'm going to receive it for the purpose of again your general theory of relevance here, that it's something the Commission sent to you that you received, and in addition to that, your relevant testimony with respect to your notations and of what you have thus far

CAROL J. THOMAS STENOTYPE REPORTING SERVICES, INC.



schematic drawing of a proposed tower, is also received in 1 evidence, and that again cross-references to an earlier 2 exhibit. 3 (Brown Exhibit No. 2, pages 4 2 & 3 were received into 5 evidence.) 6 MS. LADEN: Your Honor, for the record, I did want 7 to make clear that we have been unable to locate this document in the license file for WKIJ, but it is referred to as you indicated in a response by the Commission. 10 JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Mr. Brown, did you want 11 to add anything to that? MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor, other than when I sent 13 this, I believe I sent three copies, which is what the 14 Commission requires, Your Honor. 15 JUDGE SIPPEL: I hear you. All right. We are 16 into Exhibit No. 4, and this is just a one-page document, is 17 18 that correct? 19 MR. BROWN: Yes, sir. JUDGE SIPPEL: Which is a hand note of a telephone 20 conversation, is that correct? 21



22

CAROL J. THOMAS STENOTYPE REPORTING SERVICES, INC.

MR. BROWN: Yes, sir.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Let's start by having again the numbering procedures on this exhibit. The cover sheet, which is Exhibit No. 4, will be marked as page No. 1, and the one-page handwritten note will be page No. 2 of Exhibit 4, and there being no contest with respect to the cover sheet--

MS. LADEN: Your Honor, I have an objection with respect to the cover sheet.

JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Let's hear it.

MS. LADEN: The cover sheet describes the conversation and says that there was no mention of being off the air and other things.

The notes don't indicate that. The cover sheet is unknown, and even if it were, we would object as hearsay.

JUDGE SIPPEL: I hear you. What is your response to that, Mr. Brown?

MR. BROWN: Again, that we were communicating with the Commission.

JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. I hear you. What I'm prepared to do is receive the cover sheet in up to the point where it talks, where it mentions with May Bradfield at the FCC, and I would strike the rest of it, everything after



CAROL J. THOMAS STENOTYPE REPORTING SERVICES, INC.

fee form of a hundred dollars.

JUDGE SIPPEL: I understand what you're saying, but I don't think, there isn't any--the Bureau is not contesting the fact you have got a 90-day extension. Isn't that correct? I mean it is not an issue.

MR. BROWN: I am not meaning that, Your Honor.

All I'm saying is that, I just I wanted to show you that,
that's what we, this, this shows that we were communicating
again with the Commission.

JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. I'm going to ask Ms. Laden again just briefly respond to what he said.

MS. LADEN: For that limited purpose, I suppose I have no objection.

I don't -- I have a letter here, Mass Media Bureau Exhibit 7, May 16, 1991, which talks about a telephone conversation December 18, 1990, talks about a letter from Mr. Brown of April 25, 1991, which is Mass Media Bureau Exhibit 6, but I, you know, as I said, I don't think it's probative, but I suppose for whatever weight Your Honor wants to give it, I have no objection.

JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. For the limited purpose that Mr. Brown is offering it, I will receive it into



CAROL J. THOMAS STENOTYPE REPORTING SERVICES, INC.

3162 MUSKET COURT FAIRFAX, VIRGINIA 22030 (703) 273-9221

information was earlier than April?

MR. BROWN: It could have been. I'm not sure,
Your Honor, exactly. It could have been March when I, when
that took place. I just don't remember, but that was the
first knowledge I knew, and then I didn't get anything—all
this information from Ms. Laden came to, to 4002 McIngvale
Road, Hernando, after I had called to ask why the license
were to be revoked because I didn't know, and it surprised
me when Mr. Frost told me. I said no, that can't be,
because I have, I have had no, they have never sent me
anything to tell me that they were going to be revoked if I
didn't do something.

JUDGE SIPPEL: What were you working on at that time? What are you working on with Mr. Frost?

MR. BROWN: We were working on power increase.

JUDGE SIPPEL: The new site?

MR. BROWN: At the new site; Mr. Frost and a couple of other businessmen wanted to barter the time from me of the station, and they wanted to program it Christian programming, and that's the reason Mr. Frost was working with me. We were trying to get the station on the air, and that's the reason he was working with me, and then when he



CAROL J. THOMAS STENOTYPE REPORTING SERVICES, INC.

on it a little while, we would do something else, and putting all this together takes quite a bit of time to get all this information together, and of course we had to move the tower. That was another thing we had to do. And we had to, in the--this was in the wintertime, and the weather, it was rain, and we, when we went to pour the anchor bases for the tower, we had to pump the water out of the holes, and then one time we were going to do it, it was too cold. They wouldn't deliver the concrete, so there was all kind of holdbacks and glitches that we ran into along the way in this process.

This is late '90 and '91 that we were working on all this, and then we were just--when Mr. Frost came along, maybe before the end of the year of '91 and asked about bartering the time for the station, we really were jumping into high gear to get everything done as soon as possible, and then we had the wintertime came on and then we had some drawbacks there, and then the, then this revocation thing came up, and that's when we, we just kind of stopped. We just stopped when they came up because we didn't know what to do.

JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Well, for fear of



CAROL J. THOMAS STENOTYPE REPORTING SERVICES, INC.

)	
ו	JUDGE SIPPEL: Ms. Laden?
2	BY MS. LADEN:
3	Q. Yes. Mr. Brown, if you would look at Mass Media
4	Bureau Exhibit 18, that is the Commission's order placing
5	the AM, the freeze on filing of AM applications, is it not?
6	A. Yes, ma'am.
7	Q. If you look at exhibitat paragraph 3?
8	A. Yes, ma'am. I see it.
9	Q. Isn't it a fact there are certain categories of
10	applications that they will accept even under the freeze?
11	A. I believe this to be true.
12	Q. And at subparagraph (3), do you see that they will
13	accept applications for minor changes necessitated by causes
14	beyond the control of the applicants?
15	A. Yes, I see that.
16	Q. "e.g., unavoidable loss of a transmitter site or
17	compliance with FAA regulations"?
18	A. I see that.
19	Q. Would WKIJ have been able to file an application
20	for a minor modification for an unavoidable loss of a
21	transmitter site?



CAROL J. THOMAS STENOTYPE REPORTING SERVICES, INC.

We could have, but under the circumstances, what